

Higher-Order Side Channel Security and Mask Refreshing

J.-S. Coron, E. Prouff, M. Rivain and T. Roche
thomas.roche@ssi.gouv.fr

FSE 2013 – March 2013



Side Channel Analysis

- Side Channel Attacks (SCA) appear 15 years ago
 - ▶ 1996 : Timing Attacks
 - ▶ 1998 : Power Analysis
 - ▶ 2000 : Electromagnetic Analysis
- Numerous attacks
 - ▶ 1998 : (single-bit) DPA KocherJaffeJune1999
 - ▶ 1999 : (multi-bit) DPA Messerges99
 - ▶ 2000 : Higher-order SCA Messerges2000
 - ▶ 2002 : Template SCA ChariRaoRohatgi2002
 - ▶ 2004 : CPA BrierClavierOlivier2004
 - ▶ 2005 : Stochastic SCA SchindlerLemkePaar2006
 - ▶ 2008 : Mutual Information SCA GierlichsBatinaTuyls2008
 - ▶ etc.



Side Channel Analysis

- Side Channel Attacks (SCA) appear 15 years ago
 - ▶ 1996 : Timing Attacks
 - ▶ 1998 : Power Analysis
 - ▶ 2000 : Electromagnetic Analysis
- Numerous attacks
 - ▶ 1998 : (single-bit) DPA KocherJaffeJune1999
 - ▶ 1999 : (multi-bit) DPA Messerges99
 - ▶ 2000 : Higher-order SCA Messerges2000
 - ▶ 2002 : Template SCA ChariRaoRohatgi2002
 - ▶ 2004 : CPA BrierClavierOlivier2004
 - ▶ 2005 : Stochastic SCA SchindlerLemkePaar2006
 - ▶ 2008 : Mutual Information SCA GierlichsBatinaTuyls2008
 - ▶ etc.



SCA Countermeasures

■ Masking [IBM Team at CRYPTO 1999].

- ▶ Efficient against SCA in practice.
- ▶ Difficult to implement for non-linear transformations.



■ Shuffling [Researchers from Graz University at ACNS 2006].

- ▶ Less efficient against SCA in practice.
- ▶ Easy to implement for every transformation.



■ Whitening [Kocher Jaffe June, CRYPTO 1999].

- ▶ Less efficient than masking when used alone and costly in Hardware.
- ▶ Easy to implement for every transformation.



SCA Countermeasures

■ Masking [IBM Team at CRYPTO 1999].

- ▶ Efficient against SCA in practice.
- ▶ Difficult to implement for non-linear transformations.



■ Shuffling [Researchers from Graz University at ACNS 2006].

- ▶ Less efficient against SCA in practice.
- ▶ Easy to implement for every transformation.



■ Whitening [Kocher Jaffe June, CRYPTO 1999].

- ▶ Less efficient than masking when used alone and costly in Hardware.
- ▶ Easy to implement for every transformation.



SCA Countermeasures

■ Masking [IBM Team at CRYPTO 1999].

- ▶ Efficient against SCA in practice.
- ▶ Difficult to implement for non-linear transformations.



■ Shuffling [Researchers from Graz University at ACNS 2006].

- ▶ Less efficient against SCA in practice.
- ▶ Easy to implement for every transformation.



■ Whitening [Kocher Jaffe June, CRYPTO 1999].

- ▶ Less efficient than masking when used alone and costly in Hardware.
- ▶ Easy to implement for every transformation.



SCA Countermeasures

■ Masking [IBM Team at CRYPTO 1999].

- ▶ Efficient against SCA in practice.
- ▶ Difficult to implement for non-linear transformations.



■ Shuffling [Researchers from Graz University at ACNS 2006].

- ▶ Less efficient against SCA in practice.
- ▶ Easy to implement for every transformation.



■ Whitening [Kocher Jaffe June, CRYPTO 1999].

- ▶ Less efficient than masking when used alone and costly in Hardware.
- ▶ Easy to implement for every transformation.



Masking/Sharing Countermeasures

Idea : consists in securing the implementation using **secret sharing techniques**.

- First Ideas in GoubinPatarin99 and ChariJutlaRaoRohatgi99.
- Soundness based on the following remark :

[Chari-Jutla-Rao-Rohatgi CRYPTO'99]

- ▶ Bit x masked $\mapsto x_0, x_1, \dots, x_d$
- ▶ Leakage : $L_i \sim x_i + \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$
- ▶ # of leakage samples to test $((L_i)_i | x = 0) = ((L_i)_i | x = 1)$:

$$q \geq O(1)\sigma^d$$

- Until now, security proofs are not unconditional and are "limited" to so-called **probing** adversaries.



Masking/Sharing Countermeasures

Idea : consists in securing the implementation using **secret sharing techniques**.

- First Ideas in GoubinPatarin99 and ChariJutlaRaoRohatgi99.
- Soundness based on the following remark :

[Chari-Jutla-Rao-Rohatgi CRYPTO'99]

- ▶ Bit x masked $\mapsto x_0, x_1, \dots, x_d$
- ▶ Leakage : $L_i \sim x_i + \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$
- ▶ # of leakage samples to test $((L_i)_i | x = 0) = ((L_i)_i | x = 1)$:

$$q \geq O(1)\sigma^d$$

- Until now, security proofs are not unconditional and are "limited" to so-called **probing adversaries**.



Masking/Sharing Countermeasures

Idea : consists in securing the implementation using **secret sharing techniques**.

- First Ideas in GoubinPatarin99 and ChariJutlaRaoRohatgi99.
- Soundness based on the following remark :

[Chari-Jutla-Rao-Rohatgi CRYPTO'99]

- ▶ Bit x masked $\mapsto x_0, x_1, \dots, x_d$
- ▶ Leakage : $L_i \sim x_i + \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$
- ▶ # of leakage samples to test $((L_i)_i | x = 0) = ((L_i)_i | x = 1)$:

$$q \geq O(1)\sigma^d$$

- Until now, security proofs are not unconditional and are "limited" to so-called **probing adversaries**.



Masking/Sharing Countermeasures

Idea : consists in securing the implementation using **secret sharing techniques**.

- First Ideas in GoubinPatarin99 and ChariJutlaRaoRohatgi99.
- Soundness based on the following remark :

[Chari-Jutla-Rao-Rohatgi CRYPTO'99]

- ▶ Bit x masked $\mapsto x_0, x_1, \dots, x_d$
- ▶ Leakage : $L_i \sim x_i + \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$
- ▶ # of leakage samples to test $((L_i)_i | x = 0) = ((L_i)_i | x = 1)$:

$$q \geq O(1)\sigma^d$$

- Until now, security proofs are not unconditional and are "limited" to so-called **probing adversaries**.



Probing Adversary

- Notion introduced in IshaiSahaiWagner, CRYPTO 2003
- A d^{th} -order probing adversary is allowed to observe **at most d** intermediate results during the overall algorithm processing.
 - ▶ Hardware interpretation : d is the maximum of wires observed in the circuit.
 - ▶ Software interpretation : d is the maximum of different timings during the processing.
- d^{th} -order probing adversary = d^{th} -order SCA as introduced in Messerges99.
- Countermeasures proved to be secure against a d^{th} -order probing adv. :
 - ▶ $d = 1$: KocherJaffeJune99, BlömerGuajardoKrummel04, ProuffRivain07.
 - ▶ $d = 2$: RivainDottaxProuff08.
 - ▶ $d \geq 1$: IshaiSahaiWagner03, ProuffRoche11, GenelleProuffQuisquater11, CarletGoubinProuffQuisquaterRivain12.



Probing Adversary

- Notion introduced in IshaiSahaiWagner, CRYPTO 2003
- A d^{th} -order probing adversary is allowed to observe **at most d** intermediate results during the overall algorithm processing.
 - ▶ Hardware interpretation : d is the maximum of wires observed in the circuit.
 - ▶ Software interpretation : d is the maximum of different timings during the processing.
- d^{th} -order probing adversary = d^{th} -order SCA as introduced in Messerges99.
- Countermeasures proved to be secure against a d^{th} -order probing adv. :
 - ▶ $d = 1$: KocherJaffeJune99, BlömerGuajardoKrummel04, ProuffRivain07.
 - ▶ $d = 2$: RivainDottaxProuff08.
 - ▶ $d \geq 1$: IshaiSahaiWagner03, ProuffRoche11, GenelleProuffQuisquater11, CarletGoubinProuffQuisquaterRivain12.



Higher-Order Masking Schemes

Achieving security in the probing adversary model

Definition

A *dth-order masking scheme* for an encryption algorithm
 $c \leftarrow \mathcal{E}(m, k)$ is an algorithm

$$(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_d) \leftarrow \mathcal{E}'((m_0, m_1, \dots, m_d), (k_0, k_1, \dots, k_d))$$

- Completeness : there exists R s.t. :

$$R(c_0, \dots, c_d) = \mathcal{E}(m, k)$$

- Security : $\forall \{iv_1, iv_2, \dots, iv_d\} \subseteq \{\text{intermediate var. of } \mathcal{E}'\}$:

$$\Pr(k | iv_1, iv_2, \dots, iv_d) = \Pr(k)$$

For SPN (eg. DES, AES) the main issue is *masking the S-box*.



Higher-Order Masking Schemes

Achieving security in the probing adversary model

Definition

A *dth-order masking scheme* for an encryption algorithm
 $c \leftarrow \mathcal{E}(m, k)$ is an algorithm

$$(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_d) \leftarrow \mathcal{E}'((m_0, m_1, \dots, m_d), (k_0, k_1, \dots, k_d))$$

- Completeness : there exists R s.t. :

$$R(c_0, \dots, c_d) = \mathcal{E}(m, k)$$

- Security : $\forall \{iv_1, iv_2, \dots, iv_d\} \subseteq \{\text{intermediate var. of } \mathcal{E}'\}$:

$$\Pr(k \mid iv_1, iv_2, \dots, iv_d) = \Pr(k)$$

For SPN (eg. DES, AES) the main issue is **masking the S-box**.



Masking a S-box

Original work of Ishai, Sahai and Wagner

Main idea : split the S-box computation into elementary operations and protect each of them individually.

- Original idea limited to GF(2) IshaiSahaiWagner2003
- Extended to any field in RivainProuff2010 and FaustRabinReyzinTromerVaikuntanathan2011.
- Data are split by bitwise addition : $x \longrightarrow x_0, \dots, x_d$ s.t. $x_i \leftarrow \$, i > 0$, and $x_0 = \bigoplus_i x_i$.
- Masking of Linear Transformations L is **easy** :

$$L(x) \rightarrow \underbrace{L(x_0), L(x_1), \dots, L(x_d)}_{L(x_0) \oplus L(x_1) \oplus \dots \oplus L(x_d) = L(x)}$$

- Masking of non-linear transformations is an **issue** since the operations cannot be done on each shares separately.
 - ▶ → Problem reduces to secure multiplications !



Masking a S-box

Original work of Ishai, Sahai and Wagner

Main idea : split the S-box computation into elementary operations and protect each of them individually.

- Original idea limited to GF(2) IshaiSahaiWagner2003
- Extended to any field in RivainProuff2010 and FaustRabinReyzinTromerVaikuntanathan2011.
- Data are split by bitwise addition : $x \longrightarrow x_0, \dots, x_d$ s.t. $x_i \leftarrow \$$, $i > 0$, and $x_0 = \bigoplus_i x_i$.
- Masking of Linear Transformations L is **easy** :

$$L(x) \rightarrow \underbrace{L(x_0), L(x_1), \dots, L(x_d)}_{L(x_0) \oplus L(x_1) \oplus \dots \oplus L(x_d) = L(x)}$$

- Masking of non-linear transformations is an **issue** since the operations cannot be done on each shares separately.
 - ▶ → Problem reduces to secure multiplications !



Masking a S-box

Original work of Ishai, Sahai and Wagner

Main idea : split the S-box computation into elementary operations and protect each of them individually.

- Original idea limited to GF(2) IshaiSahaiWagner2003
- Extended to any field in RivainProuff2010 and FaustRabinReyzinTromerVaikuntanathan2011.
- Data are split by bitwise addition : $x \longrightarrow x_0, \dots, x_d$ s.t. $x_i \leftarrow \$, i > 0$, and $x_0 = \bigoplus_i x_i$.
- Masking of Linear Transformations L is **easy** :

$$L(x) \rightarrow \underbrace{L(x_0), L(x_1), \dots, L(x_d)}_{L(x_0) \oplus L(x_1) \oplus \dots \oplus L(x_d) = L(x)}$$

- Masking of non-linear transformations is an **issue** since the operations cannot be done on each shares separately.
 - ▶ → Problem reduces to secure multiplications !



Masking a S-box

Original work of Ishai, Sahai and Wagner

Main idea : split the S-box computation into elementary operations and protect each of them individually.

- Original idea limited to GF(2) IshaiSahaiWagner2003
- Extended to any field in RivainProuff2010 and FaustRabinReyzinTromerVaikuntanathan2011.
- Data are split by bitwise addition : $x \longrightarrow x_0, \dots, x_d$ s.t. $x_i \leftarrow \$, i > 0$, and $x_0 = \bigoplus_i x_i$.
- Masking of Linear Transformations L is **easy** :

$$L(x) \rightarrow \underbrace{L(x_0), L(x_1), \dots, L(x_d)}_{L(x_0) \oplus L(x_1) \oplus \dots \oplus L(x_d) = L(x)}$$

- Masking of non-linear transformations is an **issue** since the operations cannot be done on each shares separately.
 - ▶ → Problem reduces to secure multiplications !



Masking Multiplications \times

Ishai-Sahai-Wagner Scheme (ISW)

- Outlines of the scheme :

- ▶ Input : $(a_i)_i, (b_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i a_i = a$, $\bigoplus_i b_i = b$
- ▶ Output : $(c_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i c_i = a \times b$

$$\bigoplus_i c_i = (\bigoplus_i a_i) \times (\bigoplus_i b_i) = \bigoplus_{i,j} a_i \times b_j$$

- Example ($d = 2$) :

- Ishai *et al.* prove $(d/2)$ th-order security

- ▶ Extended to get d th-order security in RivainProuff10



Masking Multiplications \times

Ishai-Sahai-Wagner Scheme (ISW)

- Outlines of the scheme :

- ▶ Input : $(a_i)_i, (b_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i a_i = a$, $\bigoplus_i b_i = b$
- ▶ Output : $(c_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i c_i = a \times b$

$$\bigoplus_i c_i = (\bigoplus_i a_i) \times (\bigoplus_i b_i) = \bigoplus_{i,j} a_i \times b_j$$

- Example ($d = 2$) :

- Ishai *et al.* prove $(d/2)$ th-order security

- ▶ Extended to get d th-order security in RivainProuff10



Masking Multiplications \times

Ishai-Sahai-Wagner Scheme (ISW)

- Outlines of the scheme :

- ▶ Input : $(a_i)_i, (b_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i a_i = a$, $\bigoplus_i b_i = b$
- ▶ Output : $(c_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i c_i = a \times b$

$$\bigoplus_i c_i = (\bigoplus_i a_i) \times (\bigoplus_i b_i) = \bigoplus_{i,j} a_i \times b_j$$

- Example ($d = 2$) :

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 b_0 & a_0 b_1 & a_0 b_2 \\ a_1 b_0 & a_1 b_1 & a_1 b_2 \\ a_2 b_0 & a_2 b_1 & a_2 b_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Ishai et al. prove $(d/2)$ th-order security
 - ▶ Extended to get d th-order security in RivainProuff10



Masking Multiplications \times

Ishai-Sahai-Wagner Scheme (ISW)

- Outlines of the scheme :

- ▶ Input : $(a_i)_i, (b_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i a_i = a$, $\bigoplus_i b_i = b$
- ▶ Output : $(c_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i c_i = a \times b$

$$\bigoplus_i c_i = (\bigoplus_i a_i) \times (\bigoplus_i b_i) = \bigoplus_{i,j} a_i \times b_j$$

- Example ($d = 2$) :

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 b_0 & a_0 b_1 & a_0 b_2 \\ 0 & a_1 b_1 & a_1 b_2 \\ 0 & 0 & a_2 b_2 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_1 b_0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2 b_0 & a_2 b_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Ishai et al. prove $(d/2)$ th-order security
 - ▶ Extended to get d th-order security in RivainProuff10



Masking Multiplications \times

Ishai-Sahai-Wagner Scheme (ISW)

- Outlines of the scheme :

- ▶ Input : $(a_i)_i, (b_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i a_i = a$, $\bigoplus_i b_i = b$
- ▶ Output : $(c_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i c_i = a \times b$

$$\bigoplus_i c_i = (\bigoplus_i a_i) \times (\bigoplus_i b_i) = \bigoplus_{i,j} a_i \times b_j$$

- Example ($d = 2$) :

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 b_0 & a_0 b_1 & a_0 b_2 \\ 0 & a_1 b_1 & a_1 b_2 \\ 0 & 0 & a_2 b_2 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_1 b_0 & a_2 b_0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_2 b_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Ishai et al. prove $(d/2)$ th-order security
 - ▶ Extended to get d th-order security in RivainProuff10



Masking Multiplications \times

Ishai-Sahai-Wagner Scheme (ISW)

- Outlines of the scheme :

- ▶ Input : $(a_i)_i, (b_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i a_i = a$, $\bigoplus_i b_i = b$
- ▶ Output : $(c_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i c_i = a \times b$

$$\bigoplus_i c_i = (\bigoplus_i a_i) \times (\bigoplus_i b_i) = \bigoplus_{i,j} a_i \times b_j$$

- Example ($d = 2$) :

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 b_0 & a_0 b_1 \oplus a_1 b_0 & a_0 b_2 \oplus a_2 b_0 \\ 0 & a_1 b_1 & a_1 b_2 \oplus a_2 b_1 \\ 0 & 0 & a_2 b_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Ishai et al. prove $(d/2)$ th-order security
 - ▶ Extended to get d th-order security in RivainProuff10



Masking Multiplications \times

Ishai-Sahai-Wagner Scheme (ISW)

- Outlines of the scheme :

- ▶ Input : $(a_i)_i, (b_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i a_i = a$, $\bigoplus_i b_i = b$
- ▶ Output : $(c_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i c_i = a \times b$

$$\bigoplus_i c_i = (\bigoplus_i a_i) \times (\bigoplus_i b_i) = \bigoplus_{i,j} a_i \times b_j$$

- Example ($d = 2$) :

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 b_0 & a_0 b_1 \oplus a_1 b_0 & a_0 b_2 \oplus a_2 b_0 \\ 0 & a_1 b_1 & a_1 b_2 \oplus a_2 b_1 \\ 0 & 0 & a_2 b_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Ishai et al. prove $(d/2)$ th-order security
 - ▶ Extended to get d th-order security in RivainProuff10



Masking Multiplications \times

Ishai-Sahai-Wagner Scheme (ISW)

- Outlines of the scheme :

- ▶ Input : $(a_i)_i, (b_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i a_i = a$, $\bigoplus_i b_i = b$
- ▶ Output : $(c_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i c_i = a \times b$

$$\bigoplus_i c_i = (\bigoplus_i a_i) \times (\bigoplus_i b_i) = \bigoplus_{i,j} a_i \times b_j$$

- Example ($d = 2$) :

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 b_0 & a_0 b_1 \oplus a_1 b_0 & a_0 b_2 \oplus a_2 b_0 \\ 0 & a_1 b_1 & a_1 b_2 \oplus a_2 b_1 \\ 0 & 0 & a_2 b_2 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & r_{0,1} & r_{0,2} \\ 0 & 0 & r_{1,2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Ishai et al. prove $(d/2)$ th-order security
 - ▶ Extended to get d th-order security in RivainProuff10



Masking Multiplications \times

Ishai-Sahai-Wagner Scheme (ISW)

- Outlines of the scheme :

- ▶ Input : $(a_i)_i, (b_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i a_i = a$, $\bigoplus_i b_i = b$
- ▶ Output : $(c_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i c_i = a \times b$

$$\bigoplus_i c_i = (\bigoplus_i a_i) \times (\bigoplus_i b_i) = \bigoplus_{i,j} a_i \times b_j$$

- Example ($d = 2$) :

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 b_0 & a_0 b_1 \oplus a_1 b_0 & a_0 b_2 \oplus a_2 b_0 \\ 0 & a_1 b_1 & a_1 b_2 \oplus a_2 b_1 \\ 0 & 0 & a_2 b_2 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & r_{0,1} & r_{0,2} \\ r_{0,1} & 0 & r_{1,2} \\ r_{0,2} & r_{1,2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Ishai et al. prove $(d/2)$ th-order security
 - ▶ Extended to get d th-order security in RivainProuff10



Masking Multiplications

Ishai-Sahai-Wagner Scheme (ISW)

- Outlines of the scheme :

- ▶ Input : $(a_i)_i$, $(b_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i a_i = a$, $\bigoplus_i b_i = b$
- ▶ Output : $(c_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i c_i = a \times b$

$$\bigoplus_i c_i = (\bigoplus_i a_i) \times (\bigoplus_i b_i) = \bigoplus_{i,j} a_i \times b_j$$

- Example ($d = 2$) :

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 b_0 & (a_0 b_1 \oplus r_{0,1}) \oplus a_1 b_0 & (a_0 b_2 \oplus r_{0,2}) \oplus a_2 b_0 \\ r_{0,1} & a_1 b_1 & (a_1 b_2 \oplus r_{1,2}) \oplus a_2 b_1 \\ r_{0,2} & r_{1,2} & a_2 b_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Ishai et al. prove $(d/2)$ th-order security
 - ▶ Extended to get d th-order security in RivainProuff10



Masking Multiplications \times

Ishai-Sahai-Wagner Scheme (ISW)

- Outlines of the scheme :

- ▶ Input : $(a_i)_i, (b_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i a_i = a$, $\bigoplus_i b_i = b$
- ▶ Output : $(c_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i c_i = a \times b$

$$\bigoplus_i c_i = (\bigoplus_i a_i) \times (\bigoplus_i b_i) = \bigoplus_{i,j} a_i \times b_j$$

- Example ($d = 2$) :

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 b_0 & (a_0 b_1 \oplus r_{0,1}) \oplus a_1 b_0 & (a_0 b_2 \oplus r_{0,2}) \oplus a_2 b_0 \\ r_{0,1} & a_1 b_1 & (a_1 b_2 \oplus r_{1,2}) \oplus a_2 b_1 \\ r_{0,2} & r_{1,2} & a_2 b_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Ishai et al. prove $(d/2)$ th-order security
 - ▶ Extended to get d th-order security in RivainProuff10



Masking Multiplications

Ishai-Sahai-Wagner Scheme (ISW)

- Outlines of the scheme :

- ▶ Input : $(a_i)_i$, $(b_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i a_i = a$, $\bigoplus_i b_i = b$
- ▶ Output : $(c_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i c_i = a \times b$

$$\bigoplus_i c_i = (\bigoplus_i a_i) \times (\bigoplus_i b_i) = \bigoplus_{i,j} a_i \times b_j$$

- Example ($d = 2$) :

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 b_0 & (a_0 b_1 \oplus r_{0,1}) \oplus a_1 b_0 & (a_0 b_2 \oplus r_{0,2}) \oplus a_2 b_0 \\ r_{0,1} & a_1 b_1 & (a_1 b_2 \oplus r_{1,2}) \oplus a_2 b_1 \\ r_{0,2} & r_{1,2} & a_2 b_2 \\ \textcolor{red}{c}_1 & & \end{pmatrix}$$

- Ishai et al. prove $(d/2)$ th-order security

- ▶ Extended to get d th-order security in RivainProuff10



Masking Multiplications

Ishai-Sahai-Wagner Scheme (ISW)

- Outlines of the scheme :

- ▶ Input : $(a_i)_i$, $(b_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i a_i = a$, $\bigoplus_i b_i = b$
- ▶ Output : $(c_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i c_i = a \times b$

$$\bigoplus_i c_i = (\bigoplus_i a_i) \times (\bigoplus_i b_i) = \bigoplus_{i,j} a_i \times b_j$$

- Example ($d = 2$) :

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 b_0 & (a_0 b_1 \oplus r_{0,1}) \oplus a_1 b_0 & (a_0 b_2 \oplus r_{0,2}) \oplus a_2 b_0 \\ r_{0,1} & a_1 b_1 & (a_1 b_2 \oplus r_{1,2}) \oplus a_2 b_1 \\ r_{0,2} & r_{1,2} & a_2 b_2 \\ c_1 & c_2 & \end{pmatrix}$$

- Ishai et al. prove $(d/2)$ th-order security

- ▶ Extended to get d th-order security in RivainProuff10



Masking Multiplications \times

Ishai-Sahai-Wagner Scheme (ISW)

- Outlines of the scheme :

- ▶ Input : $(a_i)_i, (b_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i a_i = a$, $\bigoplus_i b_i = b$
- ▶ Output : $(c_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i c_i = a \times b$

$$\bigoplus_i c_i = (\bigoplus_i a_i) \times (\bigoplus_i b_i) = \bigoplus_{i,j} a_i \times b_j$$

- Example ($d = 2$) :

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 b_0 & (a_0 b_1 \oplus r_{0,1}) \oplus a_1 b_0 & (a_0 b_2 \oplus r_{0,2}) \oplus a_2 b_0 \\ r_{0,1} & a_1 b_1 & (a_1 b_2 \oplus r_{1,2}) \oplus a_2 b_1 \\ r_{0,2} & r_{1,2} & a_2 b_2 \\ c_1 & c_2 & c_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Ishai et al. prove $(d/2)$ th-order security

- ▶ Extended to get d th-order security in RivainProuff10



Masking Multiplications

Ishai-Sahai-Wagner Scheme (ISW)

- Outlines of the scheme :

- ▶ Input : $(a_i)_i$, $(b_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i a_i = a$, $\bigoplus_i b_i = b$
- ▶ Output : $(c_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i c_i = a \times b$

$$\bigoplus_i c_i = (\bigoplus_i a_i) \times (\bigoplus_i b_i) = \bigoplus_{i,j} a_i \times b_j$$

- Example ($d = 2$) :

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 b_0 & (a_0 b_1 \oplus r_{0,1}) \oplus a_1 b_0 & (a_0 b_2 \oplus r_{0,2}) \oplus a_2 b_0 \\ r_{0,1} & a_1 b_1 & (a_1 b_2 \oplus r_{1,2}) \oplus a_2 b_1 \\ r_{0,2} & r_{1,2} & a_2 b_2 \\ c_1 & c_2 & c_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Ishai et al. prove $(d/2)$ th-order security

- ▶ Extended to get d th-order security in RivainProuff10



Application to Secure Power Functions

... with a focus on the *AES power function* $x \mapsto x^{254}$

Let $\text{Exp} : x \mapsto x^r$ be a power function defined over a finite field $\text{GF}(2^n)$.

- Split Exp into a sequence of multiplications and squarings.
- Squaring is a $\text{GF}(2)$ -linear operation → **easy to mask** :
 - ▶ masked square : $x^2 \rightarrow x_0^2, x_1^2, \dots, x_d^2$
- Multiplications masked with ISW Scheme
- To reduce the overall cost of the securing, favour squaring over multiplication in the Exp evaluation method :
 - ▶ amount to look at small **addition chains** for r
- For AES non-linear function ($r = 254$), Rivain and Prouff proves that the evaluation can be done with **4** multiplications only (**optimal**).



Application to Secure Power Functions

... with a focus on the *AES power function* $x \mapsto x^{254}$

Let $\text{Exp} : x \mapsto x^r$ be a power function defined over a finite field $\text{GF}(2^n)$.

- Split Exp into a sequence of multiplications and squarings.
- Squaring is a $\text{GF}(2)$ -linear operation → **easy to mask** :
 - ▶ masked square : $x^2 \rightarrow x_0^2, x_1^2, \dots, x_d^2$
- Multiplications masked with ISW Scheme
- To reduce the overall cost of the securing, favour squaring over multiplication in the Exp evaluation method :
 - ▶ amount to look at small addition chains for r
- For AES non-linear function ($r = 254$), Rivain and Prouff proves that the evaluation can be done with **4** multiplications only (**optimal**).



Application to Secure Power Functions

... with a focus on the *AES power function* $x \mapsto x^{254}$

Let $\text{Exp} : x \mapsto x^r$ be a power function defined over a finite field $\text{GF}(2^n)$.

- Split Exp into a sequence of multiplications and squarings.
- Squaring is a $\text{GF}(2)$ -linear operation → **easy to mask** :
 - ▶ masked square : $x^2 \rightarrow x_0^2, x_1^2, \dots, x_d^2$
- Multiplications masked with ISW Scheme
- To reduce the overall cost of the securing, favour squaring over multiplication in the Exp evaluation method :
 - ▶ amount to look at small addition chains for r
- For AES non-linear function ($r = 254$), Rivain and Prouff proves that the evaluation can be done with **4** multiplications only (**optimal**).



Application to Secure Power Functions

... with a focus on the *AES power function* $x \mapsto x^{254}$

Let $\text{Exp} : x \mapsto x^r$ be a power function defined over a finite field $\text{GF}(2^n)$.

- Split Exp into a sequence of multiplications and squarings.
- Squaring is a $\text{GF}(2)$ -linear operation → **easy to mask** :
 - ▶ masked square : $x^2 \rightarrow x_0^2, x_1^2, \dots, x_d^2$
- Multiplications masked with ISW Scheme
- To reduce the overall cost of the securing, favour squaring over multiplication in the Exp evaluation method :
 - ▶ amount to look at small **addition chains** for r
- For AES non-linear function ($r = 254$), Rivain and Prouff proves that the evaluation can be done with **4** multiplications only (**optimal**).



Masking the S-box

RivainProuff10

Algorithmic description :

Input : shares x_i s.t. $\bigoplus_i x_i = x$

Output : shares y_i s.t. $\bigoplus_i y_i = x^{254}$

1. $(z_i)_i \leftarrow (x_i^2)_i$ $[\bigoplus_i z_i = x^2]$
2. RefreshMasks $((z_i)_i)$
3. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((z_i)_i, (x_i)_i)$ $[\bigoplus_i y_i = x^3]$
4. $(w_i)_i \leftarrow (y_i^4)_i$ $[\bigoplus_i w_i = x^{12}]$
5. RefreshMasks $((w_i)_i)$
6. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((y_i)_i, (w_i)_i)$ $[\bigoplus_i y_i = x^{15}]$
7. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow (y_i^{16})_i$ $[\bigoplus_i y_i = x^{240}]$
8. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((y_i)_i, (w_i)_i)$ $[\bigoplus_i y_i = x^{252}]$
9. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((y_i)_i, (z_i)_i)$ $[\bigoplus_i y_i = x^{254}]$



Masking the S-box

RivainProuff10

Algorithmic description :

Input : shares x_i s.t. $\bigoplus_i x_i = x$

Output : shares y_i s.t. $\bigoplus_i y_i = x^{254}$

1. $(z_i)_i \leftarrow (x_i^2)_i$ $[\bigoplus_i z_i = x^2]$
2. RefreshMasks($(z_i)_i$)
3. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((z_i)_i, (x_i)_i)$ $[\bigoplus_i y_i = x^3]$
4. $(w_i)_i \leftarrow (y_i^4)_i$ $[\bigoplus_i w_i = x^{12}]$
5. RefreshMasks($(w_i)_i$)
6. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((y_i)_i, (w_i)_i)$ $[\bigoplus_i y_i = x^{15}]$
7. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow (y_i^{16})_i$ $[\bigoplus_i y_i = x^{240}]$
8. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((y_i)_i, (w_i)_i)$ $[\bigoplus_i y_i = x^{252}]$
9. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((y_i)_i, (z_i)_i)$ $[\bigoplus_i y_i = x^{254}]$



Masking the S-box

RivainProuff10

Algorithmic description :

Input : shares x_i s.t. $\bigoplus_i x_i = x$

Output : shares y_i s.t. $\bigoplus_i y_i = x^{254}$

1. $(z_i)_i \leftarrow (x_i^2)_i$ $[\bigoplus_i z_i = x^2]$
2. RefreshMasks $((z_i)_i)$
3. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((z_i)_i, (x_i)_i)$ $[\bigoplus_i y_i = x^3]$
4. $(w_i)_i \leftarrow (y_i^4)_i$ $[\bigoplus_i w_i = x^{12}]$
5. RefreshMasks $((w_i)_i)$
6. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((y_i)_i, (w_i)_i)$ $[\bigoplus_i y_i = x^{15}]$
7. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow (y_i^{16})_i$ $[\bigoplus_i y_i = x^{240}]$
8. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((y_i)_i, (w_i)_i)$ $[\bigoplus_i y_i = x^{252}]$
9. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((y_i)_i, (z_i)_i)$ $[\bigoplus_i y_i = x^{254}]$



Masking the S-box

RivainProuff10

Algorithmic description :

Input : shares x_i s.t. $\bigoplus_i x_i = x$

Output : shares y_i s.t. $\bigoplus_i y_i = x^{254}$

1. $(z_i)_i \leftarrow (x_i^2)_i$ $[\bigoplus_i z_i = x^2]$
2. RefreshMasks $((z_i)_i)$
3. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((z_i)_i, (x_i)_i)$ $[\bigoplus_i y_i = x^3]$
4. $(w_i)_i \leftarrow (y_i^4)_i$ $[\bigoplus_i w_i = x^{12}]$
5. RefreshMasks $((w_i)_i)$
6. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((y_i)_i, (w_i)_i)$ $[\bigoplus_i y_i = x^{15}]$
7. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow (y_i^{16})_i$ $[\bigoplus_i y_i = x^{240}]$
8. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((y_i)_i, (w_i)_i)$ $[\bigoplus_i y_i = x^{252}]$
9. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((y_i)_i, (z_i)_i)$ $[\bigoplus_i y_i = x^{254}]$



Security

- Security proved against a d^{th} -order probing adversary
- RefreshMasks assumed to be out of the scope of the proof.
- A simple (and assumed to be secure) algorithm is proposed to refresh the masks :

Input : shares z_i s.t. $\bigoplus_i z_i = z$

Output : new shares z'_i s.t. $\bigoplus_i z'_i = z$

1. **for** $i = 1$ **to** d **do**
2. $tmp \leftarrow \text{rand}(n)$
3. $z_0 \leftarrow z_0 \oplus tmp$
4. $z'_i \leftarrow z_i \oplus tmp$



The Flaw

Let us focus on the three first steps of Rivain-Prouff's scheme.

1. $(z_i)_i \leftarrow (x_i^2)_i$
2. $(z'_i)_i \leftarrow \text{RefreshMasks}((z_i)_i)$
3. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((z'_i)_i, (x_i)_i)$

■ By construction, at the $d/2^{\text{th}}$ iteration of RefreshMasks :



■ By definition, ISW involves the following processings (cross-products) :

$$z'_i \times x_{i+d/2}$$

for all $i \in [1; d/2]$



The Flaw

Let us focus on the three first steps of Rivain-Prouff's scheme.

1. $(z_i)_i \leftarrow (x_i^2)_i$
2. $(z'_i)_i \leftarrow \text{RefreshMasks}((z_i)_i)$
3. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((z'_i)_i, (x_i)_i)$

- By construction, at the $d/2^{\text{th}}$ iteration of RefreshMasks :

$$z_0 = z \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq d/2} z'_i \oplus \bigoplus_{d/2+1 \leq i \leq d} z_i$$

- By definition, ISW involves the following processings (cross-products) :

$$z'_i \times x_{i+d/2}$$

for all $i \in [1; d/2]$



The Flaw

Let us focus on the three first steps of Rivain-Prouff's scheme.

1. $(z_i)_i \leftarrow (x_i^2)_i$
2. $(z'_i)_i \leftarrow \text{RefreshMasks}((z_i)_i)$
3. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((z'_i)_i, (x_i)_i)$

- By construction, at the $d/2^{\text{th}}$ iteration of RefreshMasks :

$$z_0 = z \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq d/2} z'_i \oplus \bigoplus_{d/2+1 \leq i \leq d} x_i^2$$

- By definition, ISW involves the following processings (cross-products) :

$$z'_i \times x_{i+d/2}$$

for all $i \in [1; d/2]$



The Flaw

Let us focus on the three first steps of Rivain-Prouff's scheme.

1. $(z_i)_i \leftarrow (x_i^2)_i$
2. $(z'_i)_i \leftarrow \text{RefreshMasks}((z_i)_i)$
3. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((z'_i)_i, (x_i)_i)$

- By construction, at the $d/2^{\text{th}}$ iteration of RefreshMasks :

$$z_0 = z \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq d/2} z'_i \oplus \bigoplus_{d/2+1 \leq i \leq d} x_i^2$$

- By definition, ISW involves the following processings (cross-products) :

$$z'_i \times x_{i+d/2}$$

for all $i \in [1; d/2]$



The Flaw

$$z_0 = z \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq d/2} z'_i \oplus \bigoplus_{d/2+1 \leq i \leq d} x_i^2 \rightarrow \ell_0$$

$$z'_i \times x_{i+d/2} \quad \forall i \in [1; d/2] \rightarrow \ell_i$$

- The $d/2$ leakage values ℓ_i bring information on all the shares z'_i and $x_{i+d/2}$ for $i \leq d/2$.
- This information is combined with ℓ_0 to retrieve information on (a.k.a. **unmask**) z .
 - ▶ Indeed $\Pr[z | (\ell_i)_i, \ell_0] \neq \Pr[z]$.



First (natural) Countermeasure

- Replace the RefreshMasks call by a call to ISW s.t. :
 - ▶ the first input is the sharing (of x) to refresh and
 - ▶ the second input is a sharing of 1.
- By definition, ISW will indeed outputs a new sharing of $x \times 1$.
- We get :

1. $(z_i)_i \leftarrow (x_i^2)_i$
2. $(z_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((z_i)_i, (1_i)_i)$ $(1_i)_i$ sharing of 1
3. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((z_i)_i, (x_i)_i)$
4. $(w_i)_i \leftarrow (y_i^4)_i$
5. $(w_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((w_i)_i, ((1'_i)_i))$ $(1'_i)_i$ sharing of 1
6. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((y_i)_i, (w_i)_i)$
7. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow (y_i^{16})_i$
8. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((y_i)_i, (w_i)_i)$
9. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((y_i)_i, (z_i)_i)$

- Problem : security difficult to prove !



Second Countermeasure Proposal

- Principle : Replace every processing of $h(x) = x \cdot x^{2^j}$ s.t.
 1. $(z_i)_i \leftarrow (x_i^{2^j})_i$ $(z_i)_i$ sharing of x^{2^j}
 2. Refreshmasks($(z_i)_i$)
 3. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((z_i)_i, (x_i)_i)$ $(y_i)_i$ sharing of $x \cdot x^{2^j}$

by a single processing of a new algorithm ISW'

- Core idea :

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \bigoplus_i a_i \cdot \bigoplus_i a_i^{2^j} \\ &= \bigoplus_i a_i^{2^j+1} \oplus \bigoplus_{i < k} \left(a_i \cdot a_k^{2^j} \oplus a_k \cdot a_i^{2^j} \right) \\ &= \bigoplus_i h(a_i) \oplus \bigoplus_{i < k} f(a_i, a_k) \end{aligned}$$

involve the new function $f(x, y) = x \cdot y^{2^j} \oplus x^{2^j} \cdot y$

- ▶ f is bilinear, thus we have

$$(\text{Property } *) \quad f(x, y) = h(x \oplus y) \oplus h(x) \oplus h(y)$$



Second Countermeasure Proposal

- Principle : Replace every processing of $h(x) = x \cdot x^{2^j}$ s.t.
 1. $(z_i)_i \leftarrow (x_i^{2^j})_i$ $(z_i)_i$ sharing of x^{2^j}
 2. Refreshmasks($(z_i)_i$)
 3. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((z_i)_i, (x_i)_i)$ $(y_i)_i$ sharing of $x \cdot x^{2^j}$

by a single processing of a new algorithm ISW'

- Core idea :

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \bigoplus_i a_i \cdot \bigoplus_i a_i^{2^j} \\ &= \bigoplus_i a_i^{2^j+1} \oplus \bigoplus_{i < k} \left(a_i \cdot a_k^{2^j} \oplus a_k \cdot a_i^{2^j} \right) \\ &= \bigoplus_i h(a_i) \oplus \bigoplus_{i < k} f(a_i, a_k) \end{aligned}$$

involve the new function $f(x, y) = x \cdot y^{2^j} \oplus x^{2^j} \cdot y$

- f is bilinear, thus we have

$$(\text{Property } *) \quad f(x, y) = h(x \oplus y) \oplus h(x) \oplus h(y)$$



Second Countermeasure Proposal

- Principle : Replace every processing of $h(x) = x \cdot x^{2^j}$ s.t.
 1. $(z_i)_i \leftarrow (x_i^{2^j})_i$ $(z_i)_i$ sharing of x^{2^j}
 2. Refreshmasks($(z_i)_i$)
 3. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((z_i)_i, (x_i)_i)$ $(y_i)_i$ sharing of $x \cdot x^{2^j}$

by a single processing of a new algorithm ISW'

- Core idea :

$$\begin{aligned}y &= \bigoplus_i a_i \cdot \bigoplus_i a_i^{2^j} \\&= \bigoplus_i a_i^{2^j+1} \oplus \bigoplus_{i < k} \left(a_i \cdot a_k^{2^j} \oplus a_k \cdot a_i^{2^j} \right) \\&= \bigoplus_i h(a_i) \oplus \bigoplus_{i < k} f(a_i, a_k)\end{aligned}$$

involve the new function $f(x, y) = x \cdot y^{2^j} \oplus x^{2^j} \cdot y$

- ▶ f is bilinear, thus we have

$$(\text{Property } *) \quad f(x, y) = h(x \oplus y) \oplus h(x) \oplus h(y)$$



Masking of Power functions $x \mapsto x^{2^j+1}$

Outlines of the new scheme ISW'

- I/O :
 - ▶ Input : $(a_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i a_i = a$
 - ▶ Output : $(c_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i c_i = h(a) = a \times a^{2^j}$

- Example ($d = 2$) :

- Security against d^{th} order probing adversary is given in the paper.



Masking of Power functions $x \mapsto x^{2^j+1}$

Outlines of the new scheme ISW'

- I/O :
 - ▶ Input : $(a_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i a_i = a$
 - ▶ Output : $(c_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i c_i = h(a) = a \times a^{2^j}$
- Example ($d = 2$) :

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0^{2^j+1} & a_0 \cdot a_1^{2^j} & a_0 \cdot a_2^{2^j} \\ a_1 \cdot a_0^{2^j} & a_1^{2^j+1} & a_1 \cdot a_2^{2^j} \\ a_2 \cdot a_0^{2^j} & a_2 \cdot a_1^{2^j} & a_2^{2^j+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Security against d^{th} order probing adversary is given in the paper.



Masking of Power functions $x \mapsto x^{2^j+1}$

Outlines of the new scheme ISW'

- I/O :

- ▶ Input : (a_i) ; s.t. $\bigoplus_i a_i = a$
- ▶ Output : (c_i) ; s.t. $\bigoplus_i c_i = h(a) = a \times a^{2^j}$

- Example ($d = 2$) :

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0^{2^j+1} & a_0 \cdot a_1^{2^j} & a_0 \cdot a_2^{2^j} \\ 0 & a_1^{2^j+1} & a_1 \cdot a_2^{2^j} \\ 0 & 0 & a_2^{2^j+1} \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_1 \cdot a_0^{2^j} & 0 & 0 \\ a_2 \cdot a_0^{2^j} & a_2 \cdot a_1^{2^j} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Security against d^{th} order probing adversary is given in the paper.



Masking of Power functions $x \mapsto x^{2^j+1}$

Outlines of the new scheme ISW'

- I/O :

- ▶ Input : (a_i) ; s.t. $\bigoplus_i a_i = a$
- ▶ Output : (c_i) ; s.t. $\bigoplus_i c_i = h(a) = a \times a^{2^j}$

- Example ($d = 2$) :

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0^{2^j+1} & a_0 \cdot a_1^{2^j} & a_0 \cdot a_2^{2^j} \\ 0 & a_1^{2^j+1} & a_1 \cdot a_2^{2^j} \\ 0 & 0 & a_2^{2^j+1} \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_1 \cdot a_0^{2^j} & a_2 \cdot a_0^{2^j} \\ 0 & 0 & a_2 \cdot a_1^{2^j} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Security against d^{th} order probing adversary is given in the paper.



Masking of Power functions $x \mapsto x^{2^j+1}$

Outlines of the new scheme ISW'

- I/O :

- ▶ Input : (a_i) ; s.t. $\bigoplus_i a_i = a$
- ▶ Output : (c_i) ; s.t. $\bigoplus_i c_i = h(a) = a \times a^{2^j}$

- Example ($d = 2$) :

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0^{2^j+1} & a_0 \cdot a_1^{2^j} \oplus a_1 \cdot a_0^{2^j} & a_0 \cdot a_2^{2^j} + a_2 \cdot a_0^{2^j} \\ 0 & a_1^{2^j+1} & a_1 \cdot a_2^{2^j} \oplus a_2 \cdot a_1^{2^j} \\ 0 & 0 & a_2^{2^j+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Security against d^{th} order probing adversary is given in the paper.



Masking of Power functions $x \mapsto x^{2^j+1}$

Outlines of the new scheme ISW'

- I/O :
 - ▶ Input : $(a_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i a_i = a$
 - ▶ Output : $(c_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i c_i = h(a) = a \times a^{2^j}$
- Example ($d = 2$) :

$$\begin{pmatrix} h(a_0) & f(a_0, a_1) & f(a_0, a_2) \\ 0 & h(a_1) & f(a_1, a_2) \\ 0 & 0 & h(a_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

- Security against d^{th} order probing adversary is given in the paper.



Masking of Power functions $x \mapsto x^{2^j+1}$

Outlines of the new scheme ISW'

- I/O :
 - ▶ Input : $(a_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i a_i = a$
 - ▶ Output : $(c_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i c_i = h(a) = a \times a^{2^j}$
- Example ($d = 2$) :

$$\begin{pmatrix} h(a_0) & f(a_0, a_1) & f(a_0, a_2) \\ 0 & h(a_1) & f(a_1, a_2) \\ 0 & 0 & h(a_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

- Security against d^{th} order probing adversary is given in the paper.



Masking of Power functions $x \mapsto x^{2^j+1}$

Outlines of the new scheme ISW'

- I/O :
 - ▶ Input : $(a_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i a_i = a$
 - ▶ Output : $(c_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i c_i = h(a) = a \times a^{2^j}$
- Example ($d = 2$) :

$$\begin{pmatrix} h(a_0) & f(a_0, a_1) & f(a_0, a_2) \\ 0 & h(a_1) & f(a_1, a_2) \\ 0 & 0 & h(a_2) \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & r_{0,1} & r_{0,2} \\ 0 & 0 & r_{1,2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Security against d^{th} order probing adversary is given in the paper.



Masking of Power functions $x \mapsto x^{2^j+1}$

Outlines of the new scheme ISW'

- I/O :
 - ▶ Input : $(a_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i a_i = a$
 - ▶ Output : $(c_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i c_i = h(a) = a \times a^{2^j}$
- Example ($d = 2$) :

$$\begin{pmatrix} h(a_0) & f(a_0, a_1) & f(a_0, a_2) \\ 0 & h(a_1) & f(a_1, a_2) \\ 0 & 0 & h(a_2) \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & r_{0,1} & r_{0,2} \\ r_{0,1} & 0 & r_{1,2} \\ r_{0,2} & r_{1,2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Security against d^{th} order probing adversary is given in the paper.



Masking of Power functions $x \mapsto x^{2^j+1}$

Outlines of the new scheme ISW'

- I/O :
 - ▶ Input : $(a_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i a_i = a$
 - ▶ Output : $(c_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i c_i = h(a) = a \times a^{2^j}$
- Example ($d = 2$) :

$$\begin{pmatrix} h(a_0) & f(a_0, a_1) + r_{0,1} & f(a_0, a_2) + r_{0,2} \\ r_{0,1} & h(a_1) & f(a_1, a_2) + r_{1,2} \\ r_{0,2} & r_{1,2} & h(a_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

- Security against d^{th} order probing adversary is given in the paper.



Masking of Power functions $x \mapsto x^{2^j+1}$

Outlines of the new scheme ISW'

- I/O :
 - ▶ Input : $(a_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i a_i = a$
 - ▶ Output : $(c_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i c_i = h(a) = a \times a^{2^j}$
- Example ($d = 2$) :

$$\begin{pmatrix} h(a_0) & f(a_0, a_1) + r_{0,1} & f(a_0, a_2) + r_{0,2} \\ r_{0,1} & h(a_1) & f(a_1, a_2) + r_{1,2} \\ r_{0,2} & r_{1,2} & h(a_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

- Security against d^{th} order probing adversary is given in the paper.



Masking of Power functions $x \mapsto x^{2^j+1}$

Outlines of the new scheme ISW'

- I/O :
 - ▶ Input : $(a_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i a_i = a$
 - ▶ Output : $(c_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i c_i = h(a) = a \times a^{2^j}$
- Example ($d = 2$) : by Property * on f

$$\begin{aligned} f(a_i, a_j) \oplus r_{i,j} &= h(a_i \oplus a_j) \oplus h(a_i) \oplus h(a_j) \oplus r_{i,j} \\ &= \left(h((a_i \oplus r'_{i,j}) \oplus a_j) \oplus h(r'_{i,j}) \right) \oplus \\ &\quad \left(h(a_i \oplus r'_{i,j}) \oplus r_{i,j} \oplus h(a_j \oplus r'_{i,j}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

- Security against d^{th} order probing adversary is given in the paper.



Masking of Power functions $x \mapsto x^{2^j+1}$

Outlines of the new scheme ISW'

- I/O :
 - ▶ Input : $(a_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i a_i = a$
 - ▶ Output : $(c_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i c_i = h(a) = a \times a^{2^j}$
- Example ($d = 2$) :

$$\begin{aligned} f(a_i, a_j) \oplus r_{i,j} &= h(a_i \oplus a_j) \oplus h(a_i) \oplus h(a_j) \oplus r_{i,j} \\ &= \left(h((a_i \oplus r'_{i,j}) \oplus a_j) \oplus h(r'_{i,j}) \right) \oplus \\ &\quad \left(h(a_i \oplus r'_{i,j}) \oplus r_{i,j} \oplus h(a_j \oplus r'_{i,j}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

- Security against d^{th} order probing adversary is given in the paper.



Masking of Power functions $x \mapsto x^{2^j+1}$

Outlines of the new scheme ISW'

- I/O :
 - ▶ Input : $(a_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i a_i = a$
 - ▶ Output : $(c_i)_i$ s.t. $\bigoplus_i c_i = h(a) = a \times a^{2^j}$
- Example ($d = 2$) :

$$\begin{aligned} f(a_i, a_j) \oplus r_{i,j} &= h(a_i \oplus a_j) \oplus h(a_i) \oplus h(a_j) \oplus r_{i,j} \\ &= \left(h((a_i \oplus r'_{i,j}) \oplus a_j) \oplus h(r'_{i,j}) \right) \oplus \\ &\quad \left(h(a_i \oplus r'_{i,j}) \oplus r_{i,j} \oplus h(a_j \oplus r'_{i,j}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

- Security against d^{th} order probing adversary is given in the paper.



Masking of Power functions $x \mapsto x^{2^j+1}$

Outlines of the new scheme ISW'

- I/O :

- ▶ Input : (a_i) ; s.t. $\bigoplus_i a_i = a$
- ▶ Output : (c_i) ; s.t. $\bigoplus_i c_i = h(a) = a \times a^{2^j}$

- Example ($d = 2$) :

$$\begin{pmatrix} h(a_0) & f(a_0, a_1) + r_{0,1} & f(a_0, a_2) + r_{0,2} \\ r_{0,1} & h(a_1) & f(a_1, a_2) + r_{1,2} \\ r_{0,2} & r_{1,2} & h(a_2) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{matrix} \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ c_0 & & c_1 & \\ & & & \downarrow \\ & & & c_2 \end{matrix}$$

- Security against d^{th} order probing adversary is given in the paper.



Masking of Power functions $x \mapsto x^{2^j+1}$

Outlines of the new scheme ISW'

- I/O :

- ▶ Input : (a_i) ; s.t. $\bigoplus_i a_i = a$
- ▶ Output : (c_i) ; s.t. $\bigoplus_i c_i = h(a) = a \times a^{2^j}$

- Example ($d = 2$) :

$$\begin{pmatrix} h(a_0) & f(a_0, a_1) + r_{0,1} & f(a_0, a_2) + r_{0,2} \\ r_{0,1} & h(a_1) & f(a_1, a_2) + r_{1,2} \\ r_{0,2} & r_{1,2} & h(a_2) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{matrix} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ c_0 & & c_1 & & c_2 \end{matrix}$$

- Security against d^{th} order probing adversary is given in the paper.



Second Countermeasure Final Proposal

We eventually get :

1. $(z_i)_i \leftarrow (x_i^2)_i$
2. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}'((x_i)_i, j = 1)$
3. $(w_i)_i \leftarrow (y_i^4)_i$
4. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}'((y_i)_i, j = 2)$
5. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow (y_i^{16})_i$
6. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((y_i)_i, (w_i)_i)$
7. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((y_i)_i, (z_i)_i)$

It is not only more secure than the first Rivain-Prouff proposal, but also **more efficient** → see timings in the paper.



Second Countermeasure Final Proposal

We eventually get :

1. $(z_i)_i \leftarrow (x_i^2)_i$
2. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}'((x_i)_i, j = 1)$
3. $(w_i)_i \leftarrow (y_i^4)_i$
4. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}'((y_i)_i, j = 2)$
5. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow (y_i^{16})_i$
6. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((y_i)_i, (w_i)_i)$
7. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((y_i)_i, (z_i)_i)$

It is not only more secure than the first Rivain-Prouff proposal, but also **more efficient** → see timings in the paper.



Second Countermeasure Final Proposal

We eventually get :

1. $(z_i)_i \leftarrow (x_i^2)_i$
2. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}'((x_i)_i, j = 1)$
3. $(w_i)_i \leftarrow (y_i^4)_i$
4. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}'((y_i)_i, j = 2)$
5. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow (y_i^{16})_i$
6. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((y_i)_i, (w_i)_i)$
7. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((y_i)_i, (z_i)_i)$

It is not only more secure than the first Rivain-Prouff proposal, but also **more efficient** → see timings in the paper.



Summary 1/2

Security enhancement

- No need of the Refresh Mask Procedure
- Global security of the Masking Scheme yet to prove :
e.g. $y = x^{14}$
 1. $(z_i)_i \leftarrow (x_i^2)_i$
 2. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}'((x_i)_i, j = 1)$
 3. $(w_i)_i \leftarrow (y_i^4)_i$
 4. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((z_i)_i, (w_i)_i)$

i.e. composable security of d th-order secure sub-routines.



Summary 1/2

Security enhancement

- No need of the Refresh Mask Procedure
- Global security of the Masking Scheme yet to prove :
e.g. $y = x^{14}$
 1. $(z_i)_i \leftarrow (x_i^2)_i$
 2. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}'((x_i)_i, j = 1)$
 3. $(w_i)_i \leftarrow (y_i^4)_i$
 4. $(y_i)_i \leftarrow \text{ISW}((z_i)_i, (w_i)_i)$

i.e. composable security of d th-order secure sub-routines.



Summary 2/2

Efficiency enhancement

- $h(x) = x \cdot x^{2^j}$ are processed efficiently (lookup tables).
 ↪ only 2 expensive secure multiplication in the AES s-box processing.
- can we do better?
 ↪ find the **optimal** expression of $x \mapsto x^{2^{254}}$ w.r.t. the number of multiplications, squarings and $h(\cdot)$.



Summary 2/2

Efficiency enhancement

- $h(x) = x \cdot x^{2^j}$ are processed efficiently (lookup tables).
 ↪ only 2 expensive secure multiplication in the AES s-box processing.
- can we do better?
 ↪ find the **optimal** expression of $x \mapsto x^{2^{254}}$ w.r.t. the number of multiplications, squarings and $h(\cdot)$.

